

CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF LODI
CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
JULY 5, 1962

A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Lodi was held beginning at 8:00 p.m. of Thursday, July 5, 1962. Councilmen Brown, Culbertson, Dow and Ullmann present. Mayor Katzakian absent. Mayor pro tempore Dow presided.

Also present: City Manager Graves, Administrative Assistant Carlton, City Attorney Mullen and Planning Director Rodgers.

MINUTES Minutes of June 13, June 20 and June 27, 1962 were approved as written and mailed on motion of Councilman Culbertson, Brown second, after correcting the minutes of June 27 by adding the following to the paragraph entitled "Tokay-Mills Intersection": "The motion was seconded by Councilman Dow and carried."

PUBLIC HEARINGS

MINOR RESIDENTIAL STREETS

ORD. NO. 729
INTRODUCED

Notice thereof having been published in accordance with law, Vice-Mayor Dow called for public hearing on the proposal to amend the subdivision ordinance by increasing the length of minor residential streets from 400 feet to 800 feet. There were no protests, written or oral. Mr. Ted Schneider, 205 South Crescent Avenue, and Mr. Maurice Ray, Jr., 2331 Cabrillo Circle, both spoke in favor of the proposal. It was explained to those present that the length of the street was measured between "T" intersections. Mr. Schneider said that the subdividers understood this definition. Councilman Culbertson moved that Ordinance No. 729 amending the subdivision ordinance by changing the length of a minor residential street from 400 feet to 800 feet be introduced. The motion was seconded by Councilman Ullmann and carried.

SENIOR CITIZENS RE JONES ESTATE

Mr. Verne Woolery, 353 Live Oak Street, Woodbridge, protested "letters to the Editor" written by several members of the Senior Citizens Club, of which he is a member, in regard to funds received by the City from the Lee Jones' Estate. Contrary to views expressed in the letters, he said that the Club feels that it is entitled to consideration in distribution of the funds. He did not want the Council to think that the Club was not interested in receiving a portion of the bequest. Mrs. Charlotte Tullar, 309 West Pine Street, also a member of the Senior Citizens, said that application had been made by letter to the City for a portion of the Lee Jones' Estate. (The letter was sent to the Recreation Commission). It was pointed out to Mr. Woolery and Mrs. Tullar that the Council intended to meet with the attorney and the executor of the Lee Jones' Estate in order to reach a closer understanding of the wishes of Mr. Jones before using the bequest.

COMMUNICATIONS

FIRE DEPT.
COMMENDED

A letter was received from the Red Devil Fireworks Company, 1724 Seventh Street, Sacramento,

Minutes of July 5, 1962 continued

thanking the City for the excellent cooperation given by the Fire Department and the efficient handling of the situation at the scene of an accident involving one of the Company's trucks. Vice-Mayor Dow added his appreciation of the work done by the Fire Department in containing the recent Lodi Theater fire.

ABC LICENSE

Notice of Application for alcoholic beverage license, On-Sale Beer, was received for Loy S. Chin and Fred A. Yin, Diamond Cafe, 21 West Pine Street.

REPORTS OF THE CITY MANAGER

CLAIMS

Claims in the amount of \$202,636.42 were approved on motion of Councilman Brown, Ullmann second.

DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS TO COUNTY

RES. NO. 2548
ADOPTED

The City Manager presented a list of delinquent assessments for the Lowe's Village Street Lighting District and the South Crescent Street Lighting District which should be placed on the County tax rolls for collection as provided in Section 5451 of the Streets and Highways Code. Councilman Brown moved the adoption of Resolution No. 2548 requesting the San Joaquin County Tax Collector to include the delinquent assessments for Lowe's Village Street Lighting District and the South Crescent Street Lighting District on the 1962-63 property tax rolls. The motion was seconded by Councilman Culbertson and carried.

CANVASS OF SPECIAL BOND ELECTION

RES. NO. 2549
ADOPTED

The resolution of the Board of Supervisors of San Joaquin County dated July 3, 1962, canvassing the results of the June 5, 1962, Consolidated Primary Election having been received, the City Council adopted the following resolution on motion of Councilman Brown, Culbertson second:

RESOLUTION NO. 2549

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI CONFIRMING CANVASS BY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY OF SPECIAL MUNICIPAL BOND ELECTION HELD JUNE 5, 1962.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of San Joaquin County did, on the 3rd day of July, 1962, canvass or cause to be canvassed the votes cast in the City of Lodi (hereinafter called the "City") at the special municipal bond election consolidated with the State of California Direct Primary Election held on June 5, 1962, in the City, by the electors of the City upon the measures, and each of them, hereinafter set forth, and did certify to this Council the result of the votes cast at said election upon each of said measures, which said certification is now on file in the office of the City Clerk of the City,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lodi as follows:

1. Said canvass by said Board of Supervisors as shown by said certification and the result of said election is hereby ratified, confirmed and approved.

2. At said election the following measures, and each of them, for incurring bonded indebtedness were submitted to the electors of the City and the number of votes cast in the City for and against each of said measures was as follows:

Minutes of July 5, 1962 continued

		Total Vote "YES"	Total Vote "NO"
<u>MEASURE (B):</u>	Shall the City of Lodi		
<u>(Civic Center)</u>	incur a bonded indebted- ness in the principal		
	amount of \$791,000 for the acquisition, construc- tion and completion of the following municipal im- provement, to wit: A civic center, including hall of justice and fire station building, acquisition and development of civic center site, land- scaping and other site improvements, land, ease- ments, rights of way and other works, property or structures necessary or convenient for a civic center for the City of Lodi?	<u>3,008</u>	<u>3,819</u>
<u>MEASURE (C):</u>	Shall the City of Lodi		
<u>(Storm Drain System)</u>	incur a bonded indebted- ness in the principal		
	amount of \$1,436,000 for the acquisition, con- struction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to wit: Municipal storm drain system, including trunk lines, ditches, outfall ditch, freeway drainage, standby generators for emergency pumping, pipes, manholes, catchbasins, pumps, lands, easements, rights of way and other works, property or structures necessary or convenient for a municipal storm drain system for the City of Lodi?	<u>2,330</u>	<u>4,661</u>
<u>MEASURE (D):</u>	Shall the City of Lodi		
<u>(Street Improvements)</u>	incur a bonded indebted- ness in the principal		
	amount of \$537,000 for the acquisition, construc- tion and completion of the following municipal im- provement, to wit: Street improvements, including reconstruction, repaving, resurfacing, replace- ment, widening and extension of existing streets (including removal and relocation or replacement of existing public utility facilities); construc- tion, paving and surfacing of new streets; new curbs, gutters and sidewalks and replacement of existing curbs, gutters and sidewalks; lands, ease- ments and rights of way; and other works, property or structures necessary or convenient for street improvements for the City of Lodi?	<u>3,665</u>	<u>3,231</u>
<u>MEASURE (E):</u>	Shall the City of Lodi		
<u>(Water System</u>	incur a bonded indebted-		
<u>Improvements)</u>	ness in the principal		
	amount of \$614,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to wit: Improvement of the municipal water system, includ- ing new water mains, replacements and extensions of existing water mains, pipes, valves, hydrants, meters, wells and well sites, tanks and tank sites, pumps, standby engines, lands, easements, rights of way and other works, property or structures necessary or convenient for improvement of the municipal water system of the City of Lodi?	<u>3,918</u>	<u>2,925</u>

Minutes of July 5, 1962 continued

		Total Vote "YES"	Total Vote "NO"
<u>MEASURE (F):</u>	Shall the City of Lodi		
(Sanitary Sewage	incur a bonded indebted-		
System Improvements)	ness in the principal		
	amount of \$787,000 for		
	the acquisition, construction and completion of		
	the following municipal improvement to wit:		
	Improvement of the municipal sanitary sewage		
	system, including new trunk and outfall sewer		
	lines, replacement of existing trunk lines, pipes,		
	connections, purchase of sewage treatment plant		
	site and new plant, lands, easements, rights of		
	way and other works, property or structures neces-		
	sary or convenient for improvement of the municipal		
	sanitary sewage system of the City of Lodi?	<u>3,945</u>	<u>3,109</u>
<u>MEASURE (G):</u>	Shall the City of Lodi		
(Park and Recreation	incur a bonded indebted-		
Facilities)	ness in the principal		
	amount of \$321,000 for		
	the acquisition, construction and completion of		
	the following municipal improvement, to wit:		
	Park and recreation facilities, including improve-		
	ment of existing parks, acquisition and develop-		
	ment of new parks, planting, landscaping, paving,		
	walkways and other site improvements for existing		
	city-owned lands and parks; conversion of park		
	sprinkler system to automatic; reconstruction of		
	stadium; park department nursery; lands, ease-		
	ments and rights of way; and other works, property		
	or structures necessary or convenient for park and		
	recreation facilities for the City of Lodi?	<u>2,794</u>	<u>4,261</u>
<u>MEASURE (H):</u>	Shall the City of Lodi		
(Corporation Yard)	incur a bonded indebted-		
	ness in the principal		
	amount of \$183,000 for the acquisition, construc-		
	tion and completion of the following municipal im-		
	provement, to wit: Corporation yard, including		
	shops, storage buildings, equipment sheds and		
	other facilities for the care, custody and opera-		
	tion of city-owned equipment and materials;		
	storage and parking areas, paving, surfacing, yard		
	lighting, fencing, storm drains and other site		
	improvements; water, gas and electrical facili-		
	ties; lands, easements and rights of way; and		
	other works, property or structures necessary or		
	convenient for a corporation yard for the City		
	of Lodi?	<u>2,653</u>	<u>4,191</u>
<u>MEASURE (I):</u>	Shall the City of Lodi		
(Fire Department	incur a bonded indebted-		
Improvements)	ness in the principal		
	amount of \$135,000 for the acquisition, con-		
	struction and completion of the following municip-		
	al improvements, to wit: Fire department		
	improvements, including construction of new fire		
	station together with furniture, fixtures and		
	equipment therefor; new city-wide fire alarm		
	system; lands, easements and rights of way; and		
	other works, property or structures necessary		
	or convenient for fire department improvements		
	for the City of Lodi?	<u>4,232</u>	<u>2,853</u>

Minutes of July 5, 1962 continued

	Total Vote "YES"	Total Vote "NO"
<u>MEASURE (J):</u> <u>(Library)</u>		
Shall the City of Lodi incur a bonded indebted- ness in the principal amount of \$475,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to wit: A library, including book storage space and other storage areas, reading and conference rooms and reference and staff facilities; furniture, fixtures and equipment; site improvements; new books; land, easements and rights of way; and other works, property or structures necessary or convenient for a municipal library for the City of Lodi?	2,337	4,819

3. The total number of votes cast in the City at said special municipal bond election and the total number of votes given in each precinct and by absentee voters of the City for and against each of said measures was and is set forth in said canvass by said Board of Supervisors.

4. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (B) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

5. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (C) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

6. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (D) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

7. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (E) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

8. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (F) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

9. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (G) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

10. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (H) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

11. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (I) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

Minutes of July 5, 1962 continued

12. That less than 2/3 of all of the votes cast at said special municipal bond election on said Measure (J) were in favor of said measure and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said measure, and that said measure did not pass.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 5th day of July, 1962, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmen - BROWN, CULBERTSON, DOW and ULLMANN

NOES: Councilmen - NONE

ABSENT: Councilmen - KATZAKIAN

UTILITIES IN
WESTDALE SUBD.

City Manager Graves stated that Wilsey and Ham had recommended that utility lines be placed in the streets in new subdivisions, and since the Westdale Subdivision lends itself to this type of installation and since the subdividers are in favor thereof, he recommends that the utilities in the Westdale Subdivision be installed in the streets. The City has not formulated a set policy, but it has been the usual policy to obtain easements for utilities at the rear of the lots. If the Council will approve of the placing of the utility lines in the streets in the Westdale Subdivision, a new map of the subdivision will be submitted. The subdivider will have more flexibility in planning if the utilities are in the streets. Permitting the installation in the Westdale Subdivision would not be setting a precedent. After discussing the feasibility of such utility installations, it was moved by Councilman Culbertson that the City change its general policy regarding utility installations by permitting the location of water and sewer lines in streets where it is practicable to do so before the street improvements are made unless it is physically more satisfactory to locate utility lines in a rear easement. The motion was seconded by Councilman Brown and carried.

SPECS -
CONCRETE
PIPE

Specifications for concrete pipe were approved and call for bids thereon authorized on motion of Councilman Brown, Ullmann second.

CUSTODY
AGREEMENT
CD KITS

An agreement with the State for the custody by the City of radiological monitoring kits was presented for Council action by the City Manager. The City would have the responsibility and care of the kits. Councilman Culbertson moved that the custody agreement with the State be approved and that the City Manager be authorized to execute the agreement. The motion was seconded by Councilman Brown and carried.

SPECS -
LOCKEFORD ST
SEWER LINE

WATER LINE
APPROVED

The City Manager presented plans and specifications for the installation of a sanitary sewer line in Lockeford Street between California and Pleasant. The Council had approved placing the line in this street at its meeting of May 16, 1962. The Engineering Department also recommends the installation at this time of a 12" water line on California Street to be connected with the existing 14" main in Lockeford Street and an 8" water line in Lockeford Street so that the street will not have to be torn up after it has been improved. Councilman

Minutes of July 5, 1962 continued

Culbertson moved that the plans and specifications for the sanitary sewer line in Lockeford Street between California Street and Pleasant Avenue be approved and call for bids authorized. His motion was seconded by Councilman Ullmann and carried. City Manager Graves said that authorization would also be needed for the installation of the water lines, making connections, etc., which would be done by City crews and would require some overtime. Councilman Culbertson moved that the water line project on Lockeford Street as outlined by the City Manager be approved. The motion was seconded by Councilman Brown and carried.

STORM DRAIN
S OF WALNUT
ORCHARD TR.

Plans for a proposed storm drain south of the Walnut Orchard Tract were presented by the City Manager who explained that the State is willing to change its proposed 18" Freeway drain to 24" provided the City will pay the difference in costs and will permit the State to connect the Freeway drains to the City's existing drain. By enlarging this drain better service to the area will be provided. The plans also call for an 18" connector between the proposed 24" drain and an existing 24" drain and the construction of a 21" drain along the south line of Walnut Orchard from Lloyd Street to Woodrow Street and a 24" drain from Woodrow to the above proposed 24" Freeway drain. The easement for the line east from Lloyd Street has been secured from Mr. and Mrs. Orrin D. Kettelman, subject to the following conditions: The pipe shall be installed to a sufficient depth so as not to interfere with farming operations and if the line is damaged by farming operations the property owner will not be liable for such damage; the City shall pay \$10 per vine for any vines destroyed by the pipe installation; and the City will not install said line during the period of July 15 to November 15. Councilman Brown moved that the City agree to the State's conditions and pay the difference between the cost of 18" and 24" pipe and also install the 18" connector. The motion was seconded by Councilman Culbertson and carried. Councilman Culbertson then moved that the City accept the easement from Mr. and Mrs. Orrin D. Kettelman and that the 21" and 24" storm sewers from Lloyd Street east be installed. The motion was seconded by Councilman Brown and carried. The above projects are part of the Capital Outlay Program.

JOINT POLE
WITH PG&E

The City has received a proposed agreement with Pacific Gas and Electric Company for joint ownership of one 75-foot pole located at Turner Road and Cherokee Lane. The City Manager recommended that the agreement be approved and that he be authorized to sign it on behalf of the City. On motion of Councilman Culbertson, Ullmann second, the Council accepted the agreement with Pacific Gas and Electric Company for joint ownership of one pole at Turner Road and Cherokee Lane at a cost of \$175 to the City and authorized its execution by the City Manager.

Minutes of July 5, 1962 continued

S.J. COUNTY
ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY

Councilman Culbertson stated that two committees within the County have been studying the advisability of establishing a San Joaquin County Economic Development Authority which would coordinate and increase efforts throughout the County for economic development, including the establishment of industries County-wide. The committees are recommending that such an organization be established and are asking that the cities within the County support their recommendation by resolution to the Board of Supervisors. Councilman Culbertson, who headed the aims and purposes committee on this project, said he would have information on the proposal sent to other members of the Council for their study prior to the next Council meeting.

ORDINANCES

REZONE N SIDE
OF W ELM IN
200 BLOCK

ORD. NO. 727
ADOPTED

ORDINANCE NO. 727, entitled "AMENDING THE OFFICIAL DISTRICT MAP OF THE CITY OF LODI AND THEREBY REZONING CERTAIN PROPERTY ALONG THE NORTH SIDE OF ELM STREET BETWEEN CHURCH STREET AND PLEASANT AVENUE TO BE IN THE C-2 GENERAL COMMERCIAL ZONE," having been introduced at the regular meeting of June 20, 1962, was brought up for passage on motion of Councilman Brown, Ullmann second. Second reading was omitted after reading by title, and was then passed, adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmen - BROWN, CULBERTSON, DOW
and ULLMANN

NOES: Councilmen - NONE

ABSENT: Councilmen - KATZAKIAN

1962-63 BUDGET
ADOPTED

ORD. NO. 728
ADOPTED

ORDINANCE NO. 728, entitled "ADOPTING A BUDGET FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS OF THE CITY OF LODI FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 1962 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 1963," having been introduced at an adjourned meeting held June 27, 1962, was brought up for passage on motion of Councilman Brown, Culbertson second. Second reading was omitted after reading by title, and was then passed, adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmen - BROWN, CULBERTSON, DOW
and ULLMANN

NOES: Councilmen - NONE

ABSENT: Councilmen - KATZAKIAN

REPORTS OF CITY MANAGER (Continued)

PARKING ON
S. SACRAMENTO
STREET

City Manager Graves reported on the additional information that had been received from the Engineering and Police Departments regarding their recommendation for parallel parking on the west side of Sacramento Street between Oak and Pine Streets, reviewing data which had been submitted with his June 18, 1962 bulletin to the Council. The percent of total reportable

Minutes of July 5, 1962, continued

accidents for the past five years on this block of South Sacramento Street is 27% compared to 11% on the similar block on South School Street. A survey of the volume of parking along the block in question shows that only 40% of the stalls are in use at any one time, but that average daily traffic during June 1962 was 5,145. He also presented figures showing comparable traffic on other streets, cited safety factors of angle vs parallel parking, etc. The City Manager also mentioned the view of the National Safety Council which recommends the elimination of all diagonal parking in the business area. Mr. Clifford Gatzert, representing Hugh Steacy of Henderson Bros., gave the following points in favor of angle parking: The block being considered is in Parking District No. 1 and angle parking would save loss of meter income since parallel parking reduces parking spaces from 28 to 16; Sacramento Street is 56 feet between curbs from Lodi Avenue to Lockeford Street and therefore is wide enough to take care of angle parking on one side and parallel parking on the other; accident counts are not conclusive as the streets are not comparable because there were more parking spaces on Sacramento Street; angle parking is more convenient, easier and more economical and is preferred by drivers; and angle parking is safer under comparable street conditions. He recommended that the white line down the center of the street be moved three or four feet easterly so that the line would be in the center of the travelled way with parallel parking on the east side and angle parking restored to the west side. Mr. Loren Powell, manager of the San Joaquin County Safety Council, spoke in behalf of the Lodi District Safety Council, stating that angle parking impeded traffic since motorists take twice the length of their car in backing out, whereas with parallel parking less space is needed to maneuver a car and the traffic is not held up. He said that traffic engineering manuals state that parallel parking should be used on any street which is less than 70 feet in width. Where angle parking is permitted on narrower streets, the majority of users suffer delay for the few who park, and traffic hazards are greater. According to Mr. Powell, the State Division of Highways is also opposed to angle parking. He said it was the intent of the Lodi District Safety Council to request the Council to consider the elimination of all angle parking in the business area. The Council discussed at length the amount of traffic and parking on the street, the width of the street and the footage requirements for angle parking, the desires of the businessmen in this area and their customers, etc., questioning Mr. Gatzert, Mr. Powell and Mr. Graves concerning the information given. Councilman Culbertson then moved that the Council revert to diagonal parking on the west side of Sacramento Street between Pine and Oak Streets until such time as the Council considers that changing the entire length of Sacramento Street to parallel parking on both sides is warranted. The motion was seconded by Vice-Mayor Dow, who felt that he did not understand the situation sufficiently at the time their original decision

Minutes of July 5, 1962 continued

was made and therefore had acted hastily. He felt that the information presented at this time led to a different conclusion. The City Manager said that the administration had sufficient data to make a recommendation at this time to have parallel parking for the entire street. Councilman Culbertson said that the width of Sacramento Street was a consideration in allowing diagonal parking on one side of the street. He felt that he had acted hastily in the first instance as he had thought that most of the merchants in this block had been contacted and were agreeable to the change. Councilman Ullmann suggested that parallel parking be tried out for another month or two. He said that he had talked to the merchants in this block and most of them were satisfied with the parallel parking. Vice-Mayor Dow said that the Council should make a decision since diagonal parking is still permitted in this block under the traffic ordinance. Mr. Powell urged the Council to give credence to the data submitted by the Police and Engineering departments. Mr. Gordon Nichols, Director of the Lodi District Safety Council, said the petitioners for diagonal parking should be interested enough to be present at this meeting. Mr. Jacob Ferguson, 209 South Sacramento Street, said all the parking they could get was needed in the block between Walnut and Lodi Avenue. Councilman Culbertson said that he felt the new evidence presented warranted the change back to diagonal parking, saying it was not a major street so should serve the people in the area, but that if the accident rate becomes critical, parking on the street should be reconsidered. He felt that if the white line were moved a few feet easterly, hazards would be eliminated. Councilman Brown agreed to some extent that the Council had made a hasty decision, but he said that he had checked the parking along this block for several days and that parking was always available. He had also contacted the business men in this block and all but two like parallel parking. A vote was then taken on the motion, as follows:

AYES: Councilmen - CULBERTSON, DOW and ULLMANN

NOES: Councilmen - BROWN

ABSENT: Councilmen - KATZAKIAN

City Manager Graves asked the Council how soon they would want the change made and he was told to use his best judgment. He was also instructed not to have the white line in the middle of the street changed until the Engineering Department made a recommendation thereon.

The City Council then adjourned on motion of Councilman Brown, Culbertson second.

Beatrice Carlaldi
ATTEST: BEATRICE CARLALDI
City Clerk